

Name of the Student: \_\_\_\_\_

Max. Marks : 18 Marks

Time : 18 Minutes

Mark Schemes

**Q1.**

- (a) (i) coal

1

- (ii) any **two** from:

*ignore coal, oil, natural gas, nuclear, hydroelectricity and wind*

- tidal
- wave
- biofuel / biomass  
*allow waste incineration / burning*  
*allow named biomass eg wood*
- solar  
*ignore Sun*
- geothermal  
*ignore water*

2

- (b) Marks awarded for this answer will be determined by the Quality of Written Communication (QWC) as well as the standard of the scientific response. Examiners should apply a "best-fit" approach to the marking.

**0 marks**

No relevant content.

**(1–2 marks)**

A brief description of an advantage of the chosen energy resource **or** a disadvantage of the rejected energy resource has been given.

There is little scientific terminology used.

**(3–4 marks)**

A clear description of either advantages **and** / **or** disadvantages have been described  
Some scientific terminology is used

**(5–6 marks)**

A detailed description of advantages of the chosen energy resource **and** disadvantages for the rejected energy resource have been described.  
Scientific terminology is used accurately.

**Physics responses**

*ignore circling of nuclear / wind ignore references to any other energy resources*

## **Nuclear:**

### **advantage:**

- large amount of energy released (per kg of fuel)
- large fuel reserves  
*allow there is a lot of uranium (in the ground)*
- reliable electricity supply

### **disadvantage:**

- radioactive waste  
*allow waste is harmful / dangerous ignore nuclear waste*
- waste remains radioactive for many years  
*accept waste has a long half-life allow dangerous / harmful for radioactive*
- waste has to be stored (for many years)  
*allow difficult to dispose of*
- non-renewable  
*allow unsustainable or will (eventually) run out*
- high decommissioning cost
- high commissioning cost  
*allow cost more to build*
- long time needed to build
- long start-up time
- risk of meltdown / large scale disaster  
*allow named disaster eg Chernobyl, Fukushima, Japan*  
*ignore visual pollution / eyesore for both energy resources*
- (fuel) has to be mined  
*ignore air pollution / greenhouse gases / carbon dioxide for both energy resources*  
*ignore cost of electricity for both resources*

## **Wind:**

*ignore the UK is very windy*

### **advantage:**

- renewable
- land still useable beneath turbines  
*allow sustainable or won't run out*

- no fuel cost  
*allow wind is free*
- short start-up time
- short time needed to build
- set up cost is lower

**disadvantage:**

- unreliable (wind / electricity)
- very large number of turbines needed (1000s)
- high set up cost (for many turbines)
- connection to National Grid is difficult / expensive
- (single turbine has) low output  
*allow kills birds*  
*allow noisy / noise pollution*  
*ignore causes headaches / migraines*  
*ignore visual pollution / eyesore for both energy resources*  
*ignore air pollution / green house gases / carbon dioxide for both energy resources*  
*ignore cost of electricity for both resources*

6

[9]

**Q2.**

- (a) VAWT generates electricity at (wind) speeds lower than HAWT do  
*ignore quoted figures without comparative statements*  
*accept for 2 marks generates electricity over a greater range of (wind)speeds*

1

VAWT generates electricity at higher (wind)speeds

1

VAWT can generate electricity over a longer time period  
*allow VAWT generates more electricity (over a given time period)*  
*allow VAWT doesn't need to turn (into the wind)*  
*(ignore the converse)*  
*ignore the wind can come from any direction*

1

- (b) any **two** from:  
*if no reference to power / output allow max 1 mark*  
*if cause and effect are the wrong way round allow max 1 mark*
- very little power / output until 2 (m/s)  
*allow no power / output until 2 (m/s)*  
*allow a value between 1.5 – 2 (m/s)*

- as wind speed increases, power / output increases . . .

- . . . at an increasing rate  
*allow figures to show this*

2

- (c) (i) 150 (metres)  
*allow any value in the range 141 – 159 (metres)*

1

- (ii) (No, because) the sound level is 20dB less than 30dB / less than a whisper at a distance of 1000m (is worth 2 marks)

*allow at the nearest house for a distance of 1000m*

*allow 1 mark for reading the sound level at 1000m (20dB)*

**or**

*allow 1 mark for (no) the noise level will be very low (at 1000m)*

*allow 1 mark for (Yes)*

*no additional noise is justified in the country side / on the island*

**2 marks can be gained for a 'Yes' answer**

*allow 1 mark for (Yes)*

*we don't know how many wind turbines would be installed / many wind turbines create more noise*

2

- (d) reduces energy loss (in cables)

*allow 'heat' for energy*

*allow power for energy*

*allow to increase efficiency (of power transmission)*

*ignore less electricity wasted*

*do **not** accept prevents or stops energy loss*

1

[9]