

Name of the Student: \_\_\_\_\_

Max. Marks : 21 Marks

Time : 21 Minutes

## Mark Schemes

## Q1.

- (a)  $f$  (from  $\frac{1}{T}$ ) in range  $61 \pm 1$  Hz <sub>1</sub>✓ <sub>2</sub>✓

OR

 $61 \pm 3$  Hz <sub>12</sub>✓

maximum 1 mark for POT error OR incorrect rounding

no credit for 1 sf; treat 60 as 2 sf unless clearly rounded to  $6 \times 10^1$ 

for <sub>1</sub>✓ <sub>2</sub>✓ **require  $\geq 2$  sf** that rounds to not less than 60 and not more than 62

for <sub>12</sub>✓ **require  $\geq 2$  sf** that rounds to not less than 58 but less than 60

OR

for <sub>12</sub>✓ **require  $\geq 2$  sf** that rounds to more than 62 but not more than 64

if incorrect rounding leads to 60 treat this as 1 sf and give no credit

use of  $\frac{1}{T}$  does not have to be seen; marks are for final answer seen

2

- (b) (figures) 804 and 226 seen in working <sub>1</sub>✓

$\lambda$  = difference between their readings  $\times 2$ ;

given to nearest mm; expect 1.156 (m)

OR

to nearest cm; expect 1.16 (m) <sub>2</sub>✓

for <sub>1</sub>✓ 578 is not enough

for <sub>2</sub>✓ range is based on  $x = (804 - 226) = 578 \pm 2\text{mm}$ ;

give no credit for POT errors eg 115.6 / 116 etc

accept 1156 mm etc if unit on answer line is amended

2

- (c)  $c$  correctly evaluated to  $\geq 2$  sf from their  $f \times$  their  $\lambda$  ✓

substituted data may be from 03.1/2 final answers or unrounded (intermediate) data from working

$$\text{expected answer} = 61 \times 0.578 \times 2 = 70.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$$

1

- (d)  $\mu$  correct to 2 sf based on their  $f$  and their  $\lambda$  earns both marks  $_1\checkmark$   $_2\checkmark$

for incorrect / missing  $\mu$

EITHER

use of  $c = \sqrt{\frac{T}{\mu}}$

OR

use of  $f = \frac{1}{2l} \sqrt{\frac{T}{\mu}}$

for  $_1\checkmark$  their value of  $\mu$  can be given to  $\geq 2$  sf but

must agree with  $\frac{0.5 \times g}{(\text{their } f \times \lambda)^2}$  OR  $\frac{0.5 \times g}{(\text{their } c)^2}$  **when rounded to 2 sf**;  
use of  $g = 9.81$  or  $9.8$  only; no ecf for mixed units

expected answer  $\mu = 9.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (kg m}^{-1}\text{)}$ : be wary of which approach has been taken by the candidate

for  $_{12}\checkmark$  'use of' means allow **either**

rearranges so that  $\mu$  is the subject eg  $\mu = \frac{T}{c^2}$

(accept  $\mu = \frac{mg}{c^2}, \frac{T}{c^2} = \mu$  etc ) **or**

substitution of all relevant data including their  $c$  into a correct expression with  $\mu$  as the only unknown

for  $T$  allow  $4.9 / 4.91 / 4.905$  (accept  $0.5 \times 9.81$  or  $0.5 \times 9.8$ ); allow mixed units; allow  $0.5g$

OR 'use of' means allow **either**

rearranges to  $\mu = \frac{T}{(2 \times l \times f)^2}$  OR  $\frac{T}{4 \times l^2 \times f^2}$  **or**

substitution of all relevant data including their  $l$  and  $f$  leaving  $\mu$  as the unknown; allow sub of  $\lambda$  for  $2l$

watch for possible error  $\lambda = L$

2

- (e)  $0.71 \text{ (mm)}$   $\checkmark$

only answer that gets mark

1

- (f) ANY TWO FROM

repeat readings at different points along the rod and calculate an average / mean  $_1\checkmark$

repeat readings in different directions (perpendicular to the rod) and calculate an average / mean  $_2\checkmark$

reject / discard anomalous readings before calculating an average / mean  $_3\checkmark$

award  $_{123}\checkmark = 1$  MAX for checking at different points / in different directions to confirm that the rod is uniform / that there are no anomalies

allow 'cylinder' / 'wire' etc for rod

for  $_1\checkmark$   $_2\checkmark$  and  $_3\checkmark$  averaging idea only needs to be seen once;

if averaging idea missing then allow 'repeat at different points and in different directions, then remove anomalies'  $_{123}\checkmark = 1$  MAX

if 'calculate' is not seen allow 'work out' / 'determine' / 'compute';

anything that sounds like a mathematical process is ok;

'find' / 'obtain' / 'take' / 'do an average' are just ok;

'get' is not ok

for  $_1\checkmark$  allow repeat at 'different positions' / 'down / along the rod'

for  $_2\checkmark$  allow (repeat in different directions) 'around the rod' / 'different orientations' / 'angles' / 'planes' / 'sides'

for  $_3\checkmark$  allow 'ignore anomalies'; 'outlier' = 'anomaly'

reject 'calculate an average to eliminate effect of anomalies'

treat as neutral: 'turn the wheel to close the callipers' / suggestions about calibration

treat as neutral: 'zero callipers before use' this is a procedure to eliminate a source of systematic error

2

(g) (for use of expected 0.71)

$$\rho = 8.9(41) \times 10^3 \text{ (kg m}^{-3}\text{)}$$

OR

(for use of 0.53)

$$\rho = 1.6(05) \times 10^4 \text{ (kg m}^{-3}\text{)}$$

OR

$$\rho = \frac{4.51 \times 10^{-3}}{(\text{their } d \text{ from (e)})^2}$$

OR

attempts to use  $\mu$  OR  $3.5(4) \times 10^{-3}$  divided by their (recognisable) cross-sectional area  $_1\checkmark$

AND/OR

evidence showing cross-sectional area =  $\frac{\pi d^2}{4}$  using their d from (e) (allow  $\pi r^2$  using their d)  $_2\checkmark$

correct answer scores  $_{123}\checkmark\checkmark\checkmark$

for  $_{123}\checkmark\checkmark\checkmark$  allow an answer that rounds to the correct 2 sf value

sample results for expected d

d/mm	A/m <sup>2</sup>	$\rho/\text{kg m}^{-3}$
0.71	$3.96 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.9(41) \times 10^3$

$$0.53 \quad 2.21 \times 10^{-7} \quad 1.6(05) \times 10^4$$

for  $_1✓$  accept use of symbols, eg

$$\rho = \frac{\mu}{A} / = \frac{3.54 \times 10^{-3}}{A(\times 1)} / = \frac{4 \times \mu}{\pi \times d^2} / = \frac{4 \times 3.54 \times 10^{-3}}{\pi \times d^2(\times 1)}$$

$$= \frac{3.54 \times 10^{-3}}{\pi \times r^2(\times 1)}$$

for  $_2✓$  expect correct value of A seen or correct values of A or d in working, eg

$$\rho = \frac{3.54 \times 10^{-3}}{3.96 \times 10^{-7}(\times 1)} / = \frac{4 \times 3.54 \times 10^{-3}}{\pi \times (0.71 \times 10^{-3})^2 \times (1)}$$

accept values  $\geq 2$  sf for A; allow ecf d and don't penalise POT error in A or d (eg missing  $10^{-7}$ ,  $10^{-3}$ )

1  
2

[13]

**Q2.**

- (a) Use of  $n_A = \frac{c}{c_A}$  to make  $c_A$  the subject of the equation  
Condone truncation without appropriate rounding mid-calculation

**OR**

speed in glass **A** =  $2.05(2) \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$   $_1✓$

Speed in glass **B** =  $1.985(3) \times 10^8$

Condone use of  $c = 3 \times 10^8$

But must see answer to 4 sf answer

**OR**

their speed in glass **A**  $\times 0.96748$  (or equivalent)  $_2✓$

Values obtained using  $c = 3 \times 10^8$ :

- speed in glass **A** =  $2.05(3) \times 10^8 \text{ ms}^{-1}$
- speed in glass **B** =  $1.98(7) \times 10^8$
- $n = 1.510$

**OR**

Alternative 1st and 2nd marks

Use of  $n_A/n_B = c_B/c_A$  by substitution for  $n_A$   $_1✓$

Use of  $n_A/n_B = c_B/c_A$  by substitution for  $n_A$  and  $c_B = c_A \times 0.96748$   $_2✓$

**OR**

$$n_B = 1.461 / 0.96748 \quad _1✓ \quad _2✓$$

Watch for maths errors:

Dividing by 1.03252  $\neq$  multiplying by 0.96748

Multiplying by 1.03252  $\neq$  dividing by 0.96748

1.510 cao to 4 sf only <sub>3</sub>✓

Correct answer to 4 sf obtains all 3 marks

Penalise any unit on final answer

3

(b) **Relationship:**

Increase in tension (or stress) in cable produces increase in strain resulting in increase in  $\lambda_R$

**OR**

Decrease in tension (or stress) causes decrease in strain resulting in decrease in  $\lambda_R$  <sub>1</sub>✓

**Variation due to motion:**

As the lift accelerates downwards, (the tension is less than the weight in the cable, a decrease in tension results) in  $\lambda_R$  decreasing <sub>2</sub>✓

At constant velocity (the tension again equals the weight and)  $\lambda_R$  returns to the initial, at rest value <sub>3</sub>✓

*Allow a correct comment on the directional relationship between tension, strain and  $\lambda_R$  independent of the motion of the lift for first mark*

3

(c) **P** because it will produce a larger increase in  $\lambda_R$  for the (same) increase in strain

**OR**

**P** because it has a larger gradient (must be a sense of larger increase in  $\lambda_R$  for the (same) increase in strain) ✓

Hence smaller accelerations (which produce small changes in strain) can produce measurable changes in  $\lambda_R$

**OR**

Hence gauge **P** will have a higher resolution ✓

*Selecting Q gains zero marks*

*Linking steeper gradient to being able to withstand a larger force negates this mark*

*Allow more accurate measurement of acceleration*

*Allow more readings of acceleration can be taken (over the range)*

*More sensitive treat as neutral*

2

[8]